

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 8	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0007		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 22-Aug-2005		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. W81W3G-0A76-0001		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY USAED - BALTIMORE 10 SOUTH HOWARD STREET BALTIMORE MD 21201		CODE W912DR		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W912DR-05-R-0001	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 28-Jun-2005	
						10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The solicitation for the Public-Private A76 Competition for the US Army Corps of Engineers Information Management/Information Technology is hereby amended to (1) Add the Q&A's from the 09 August 2005 Final Industry Forum, (2) Add the latest sets of Q&A's from the website, and (3) to add the conformed RFP with all changes through this amendment 0007. As a result of this amendment, the proposal due date of 23 September 2005 at 4:00pm is not changed							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 22-Aug-2005	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0007 INFORMATION**SUMMARY OF CHANGES:**

A conformed RFP through this Amendment 0007 is hereby attached for ease of reference. Every effort has been made to highlight all changes in BOLD print which have been indicated on the previous amendments.

- Questions and Answers from the 09 August 2005 Final Industry Forum are attached.
- Comments/Questions and responses from the Website, dated 17 August, 19 August, and 22 August 2005 are attached.
- The following clauses are updated in Amendment 0007:

From Section	Number	Title	Date	To Date
I	52.222-4	Contract Work House and Safety Standards Act – Overtime Compensation	September 2000	July 2005
I	52.222-41	Service Contract Act of 1965, As Amended	May 1989	July 2005
I	52.244-6	Subcontracts for Commercial Items	July 2004	December 2004
I	252.203-7001	Prohibition On Persons Convicted of Fraud or Other Defense Contract-Related Felonies	March 1999	December 2004
I	252.225-7001	Buy American Act and Balance of Payment Program	April 2003	June 2005
I	252.225-7031	Secondary Arab Boycott of Israel	April 2003	June 2005
I	252.225-7036	Buy American—Free Trade Agreement—Balance of Payments Program	January 2005	June 2005
K	252.209-7002	Disclosure of Ownership or Control by a Foreign Government	September 1994	June 2005
K	252.225-7000	Buy American Act—Balance of Payments Program Certificate	April 2003	June 2005

K	252.225-7031	Secondary Arab Boycott of Israel	April 2003	June 2005
---	--------------	-------------------------------------	------------	-----------

- The Service Contract Act Wage Determinations, listed in bold print on the attachment, updated as a result of this amendment and posted to the website.
- Section M – (5) Utilization of Small Business is updated as indicated below. Note that the first sentence “Have the subcontractors performed work in the past that is similar or relevant to the work required in the PWS proposed subcontractor’s service area?” has been removed since this is covered in factor (4) Experience.

(5) Utilization of Small Business – Does the offeror’s proposed small business subcontract plan meet the requirements of the solicitation **by providing a goal of at least 25% of the total contract value**? Does the offeror indicate and show evidence that he has met or exceeded the small business goals on past contracts? Did the offeror propose a percentage **goal** for the each of the small business concerns?

Questions from the FINAL Industry Day – 09 August 2005

1 – Question: TE-19 does not have the scopes for the contracts. How are we to know what the scopes are that are included in the solicitation?

Response: The scope of work for this solicitation is described in Section C, the Performance Work Statement. Contracts listed in TE-19 are resources used in support of the existing Government organization. These contracts will be extended and managed by the Government through the end of the phase-in period. At the start of the base year, the Service Provider will begin to fully perform all work described in Section C. At the Government's option, work included in any of the contracts listed in TE-19 but not included in Section C will either be transferred to the Service Provider as a negotiated firm-fixed price modification or executed by other methods.

2 – Question: Is there a set aside portion for Small Businesses?

Response: No. Although there is not a set aside portion for small businesses, this acquisition contains an aggressive subcontracting plan goals. Large Businesses are required by the solicitation to submit a subcontracting plan showing that twenty-five percent (25%) of the total contract value will go to small business subcontractors. The Plan must indicate the percentages that the offeror plans to subcontract to the different categories of small business concerns. See Section L, Paragraph SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN and Section L, Instructions to Offerors, Paragraph L.10 of the RFP and Amendment No. 0002, dated 19 July 2005 .

3 - Question: When you moved items to the Cost Reimbursable portion (a – v), you did not remove the information from the workload data. How do we know what is or is not FFP or CR?

Response: The items listed in the bid schedule are clearly marked. These items were moved there due to the fact that there was not sufficient workload data to support those tasks in the firm fixed price portion of the solicitation. In determining the work requirements under the firm-fixed price items, offerors should review the performance work statement, Technical Exhibits and other solicitation documents, in conjunction with the historical workload data. The historical workload data was provided to assist offerors in preparing their proposals. However, offerors should not solely rely on the workload data in determining the requirements of the solicitation.

4 – Question: The numbers in the workload data are suspect, are not complete, and we have a lot of issues. The 2nd 6 months of the phase-in period only mitigates 6 months of risk when there is 2 years. Why isn't this contract cost reimbursement vs. firm fixed price?

Response: The historical workload data is correct and accurate and represents the best information available to the Government as of the date it was collected. In addition, the solicitation consists of both a firm-fixed price and cost reimbursement

component. With respect to the firm fixed-price requirements, we believe that the information provided in the solicitation is sufficient to enable offerors to estimate their costs to perform the requirements. When the Government embarked on this strategy, we implemented an open, iterative process with industry, evaluated feedback, and made many changes to the solicitation as a result of our dialogue with industry, including mitigating much of the risk. However, there is risk inherent in any fixed-price solicitation.

5 – Question: In TAB D of the Technical Proposal, what is meant by Level I or II designation?

RESPONSE: As stated in the RFP, “Section L, TAB D, Technical Approach including Staffing Plan - The offeror shall provide a staffing plan, without cost information, for the phase-in and performance periods (to include Option periods) that clearly depicts the total number of productive man-hours and associated full-time equivalent (FTE) positions for each proposed labor category crosswalk to each third-level PWS paragraph in the PWS. The offeror’s/tender’s staffing plan should identify each position that requires a Level I or II designation and explain why that position has this requirement. The offeror should describe its personnel management practices that sustain a qualified and stable staff.” All SP positions will be classified in levels IT I, IT II, or IT III based on Department of Army (DA) security regulations.

6 – Question: Offeror’s staffing plan should ID each position that requires a Level I or II designation. Explain why that position has this requirement.

Response: All SP positions will be classified in levels IT I, IT II, or IT III based on Department of Army (DA) security regulations.

7 - Question: Who decides what is cost reimbursable and what is fixed price when we are partnering on these issues?

Response: These items were clearly identified by the Government in the bid schedule and in the break-down matrix provided in Amendment 0006, dated 10 August 2005 which are firm fixed price and cost reimbursable. The decision to acquire IM/IT services through a fixed price and cost reimbursable vehicle was based on our acquisition strategy, feedback, and dialogue with industry.

8 - Question: Does the reimbursable portion include fee? Do you mean that we are to work without a fee? Why should we bid if no fee?

Response: The reimbursable portion of the work (phase II of the phase-in period) does not include a fee or profit. This reimbursement includes the actual cost including overhead. This six-month period is to allow for ease of transition to day one of the base period.

Wage Determination Decision
Service Contract Act of 1965 (29 CFR 4)
W912DR-05-R-0001
IM/IT A76 Competition
Amendment 0007

The Department of Labor Service Contract Act wage determinations which are highlighted in bold print are either added as new or updated with Amendment 0007, dated 15 August 2005

The following Department of Labor Service Contract Act wage determinations are hereby incorporated into the IM/IT A76 Solicitation (W912DR-05-R-0001). Some determinations cover more than one state. Some states have more than one determination, depending on the applicable county covered by that determination.

Decision No.	Date	State(s) Covered
94-2007 REV (30)	05/23/2005	Alabama and Tennessee
94-2009 REV (26)	05/23/2005	Alabama
94-2017 REV (33)	05/23/2005	Alaska
94-2033 REV (30)	05/23/2005	Arkansas
94-2047 REV (27)	05/23/2005	California (Added to index by Amendment 7, dtd 8/15/05)
94-2055 REV (26)	07/14/2005	California (Added to index by Amendment 7, dtd 8/15/05)
94-2059 REV (26)	05/23/2005	California
94-2103 REV (34)	05/23/2005	District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia
94-2115 REV (33)	05/23/2005	Georgia
94-2133 REV (28)	05/23/2005	Georgia
94-2141 REV (31)	05/23/2005	Georgia, South Carolina (Added to index by Amendment 7, dtd 8/15/05)
94-2153 REV (35)	05/23/2005	Hawaii (Added to index by Amendment 2, dtd 5/19/05)
94-2165 REV (27)	05/23/2005	Illinois
94-2167 REV (29)	05/23/2005	Illinois
94-2175 REV (29)	06/09/2005	Illinois and Iowa
94-2223 REV (24)	08/04/2005	Indiana and Kentucky
94-2233 REV (25)	05/23/2005	Louisiana
94-2247 REV (27)	05/23/2005	Maryland
94-2255 REV (24)	05/23/2005	Massachusetts
94-2273 REV (29)	05/23/2005	Michigan
94-2287 REV (29)	05/23/2005	Minnesota and Wisconsin
94-2297 REV (26)	05/23/2005	Mississippi
94-2307 REV (30)	05/23/2005	Kansas and Missouri
94-2309 REV (32)	05/23/2005	Illinois and Missouri
94-2325 REV (30)	05/23/2005	Iowa and Nebraska
94-2339 REV (25)	05/23/2005	New Hampshire
94-2361 REV (28)	05/23/2005	New Mexico
94-2371 REV (26)	06/14/2005	New York
94-2375 REV (24)	06/03/2005	New York
94-2393 REV (29)	05/23/2005	North Carolina and South Carolina
94-2413 REV (23)	05/23/2005	Indiana, Kentucky, and Ohio
94-2433 REV (32)	05/23/2005	Oklahoma
94-2441 REV (25)	05/23/2005	Oregon and Washington

94-2449 REV (21)	05/23/2005	New Jersey and Pennsylvania
94-2451 REV (28)	05/23/2005	Ohio and Pennsylvania
94-2473 REV (31)	05/23/2005	South Carolina
94-2495 REV (29)	05/23/2005	Arkansas, Kentucky, Mississippi, and Tennessee
94-2497 REV (25)	05/23/2005	Kentucky and Tennessee
94-2509 REV (26)	06/03/2005	Texas
94-2513 REV (26)	06/03/2005	Texas
94-2515 REV (32)	05/23/2005	Texas
94-2543 REV (38)	05/23/2005	North Carolina and Virginia
94-2563 REV (28)	05/23/2005	Washington
94-2569 REV (24)	05/23/2005	Oregon and Washington
94-2573 REV (24)	05/23/2005	Kentucky, Ohio, and West Virginia

(End of Summary of Changes)